

COMMON ISSUES IN CDISC-SEND DATA IN FDA TOXICOLOGY REVIEW

Jesse Anderson, MPH Program Manager, *KickStart Service* Office of Computational Science Center for Drug Evaluation and Research U.S. Food and Drug Administration

CDER Small Business and Industry Assistance (SBIA)

Jen Feldmann

SEND Subject Matter Expert IBM Contractor for the Office of Computational Science

September 12, 2019



Today's Topics



It All Starts with SEND





Key Concepts

- 1. Carcinogenicity, single-dose and repeat-dose toxicity, and cardiovascular and respiratory safety pharmacology currently covered by SENDIGv3.0 and SENDIGv3.1 in the FDA Data Standards Catalog.
- 2. SEND should present nonclinical data in a consistent and predictable manner.
- 3. SEND allows exploration of study data and automated creation of tables and graphs.
- 4. Use of SEND electronic data is a process change for the reviewer community within a short timeline for many submissions.
- 5. OCS KickStart service and resources support reviewer use of SEND electronic data.

Nonclinical Regulatory Review



www.fda.gov

FDA





^Number of applications submitted up to May 2019

Janus Nonclinical





Key Concepts

- Janus Nonclinical is a database and system that allows reviewers to use SEND datasets for their reviews
- 2. Every SEND study received in an application goes through Janus loading process automatically
- 3. More than 735 studies in Janus NC as of May 2019
- Reviewers <u>may</u> request a Kickstart Service to help them with their application in Janus Nonclinical consisting of:
 - One-on-one training
 - Data Fitness Analysis
 - Help with study data exploration and analysis
- 5. Reviewers may also receive support from the Office of Computational Science (OCS) Service Desk

SEND Study Data in Janus Nonclinical



The KickStart Service





Key Concepts

- KickStart is offered by OCS to all Pharm/Tox reviewers for their applications with preference given to reviewers who have never received a service.
- 2. Pre-KickStart Training includes overviews of:
 - The SEND Standard
 - Nonclinical Study Data Reviewers Guide (nSDRG)
 - Define.xml
 - Janus Nonclinical features
- 3. The KickStart Service covers:
 - A data fitness assessment with sponsor report and details to reviewer for issues that impact use of data
 - Shows reviewers how to explore study data using Janus Nonclinical and how to produce tables and graphs that can be used in review documents
 - Prepare graphs and tables for key analyses using Janus Nonclinical



KickStart Services Support Nonclinical Review Applications Through May 2019



*Application must meet the following criteria:

- Study loaded into Janus Nonclinical
- Reviewer requests service
- One or two studies per application generally reviewed

www.fda.gov

Studies Included in Kickstart Applications







Pre-KickStart Training

- Provides reviewers general training on the SEND topics, including:
 - Domains
 - Controlled Terminology
 - Overview of the nSDRG
 - Introduction to the define file
 - Introduction to Janus Nonclinical





KickStart Data Fitness Assessment

- Automated and manual review of SEND datasets and associated nSDRG and define.xml files
 - Verify compliance with standards and FDA rules/recommendations
 - Confirm and document data not submitted
 - Check consistency across study files and documents
 - Ensure summarizations included in study report can be reproduced
- Issues that affect data analysis are discussed with reviewer
- Sponsor data fitness report details all issues identified



KickStart Data Exploration Session



- Tutorial and interactive look at the best way to interact with application study data using Janus Nonclinical
- Show tables and graphs from key domains with findings aligned with study report when possible
- Provide outputs may be used as part of application review documents



Common SEND Study Package Issues

Findings Data

• Timing, Categorical Data, Replacement Values

Study Design and Animal Assignments

• Removed Animals, Animal Set Assignments, Sets vs. Groups

Subject Elements

• Gaps, Overlaps, Data Outside Element, no SE

nSDRG Considerations

• Missing Key Information, Ambiguity, Unrelated Information

Define.xml

StudyName does not match submission study ID



Common Findings Data Fitness Issues

- Through analysis of 79 studies across 54 applications, several data quality themes have emerged:
 - Incorrect reporting of timing variables needed for summarization and analysis of results
 - Incorrect reporting of categorical data
 - Omission of the numeric value to use in calculations as a replacement for text result



Data Fitness Issues with Standardization of Timing Variable

- Standardization issues related to a timing variable were found in nearly all of the studies reviewed
 - Reviewer could not use some submitted data in 10% of studies reviewed
 - Examples: Missing timing variables, timing does not align with report
 - Reviewer could use some data by applying work-arounds in Janus Nonclinical in 40% of the studies reviewed
 - Examples: Incorrect use of VISITDY for collection performed over multiple days and for 24-hr post dose result.

Data to be analyzed together is spread over multiple days in VISITDY Days must be adjusted in FDA Tool views for summary analysis VISITDY should align data with summary reporting



VISITDY is used to group records into a single planned study day as a label for reporting. This allows data that was collected based upon grace days to be reported in a single column on a report.

VISITDY Contains Day of Collection (shown in column headings) Days for summarization on study report are different for each category of test Days for each test must be adjusted in FDA Tool views for summary analysis



Group ▲ T Sex	▼ Test	Animal ID	Unit of the Stan Result	Day -12	Day -11	Day -9	Day 25	Day 26	Day 56
Group 1, _ Female	Temperature		С	38		37.8	27.8		
🗌 Group 1, 💷 Female	Temperature		C	39.1		38.6	37.6		
Group 1, _ Female	Temperature		с	57.7	38		37.8		
Correct Ferrele	Temperature		С	38.8		37.9	38.8		38.3
Day -11 in Study	emperature		С	38.5		38.1	38		38.9
Summary Report	emperature		С	38.5		39	36.7		
	emperature		с	58.4		39	36.8		
Group 2, _ Female	Temperature		с	38.6		38.8	37.9		
Day -9 in Study	emperature		С	38		38.5	37.8		38.5
Summary Report	emperature		C	38.3		39.2	38		38.9
Summary Report	emperature		с	38.5		38.6		38.6	
🗌 Group 3, 💶 Female	Temperature		с	38.6	39.3		37.7		
Day OF in Otyahu	emperature		с	39.5		39.3		38.8	
Day 25 In Study	emperatore		с	37.6		37.3	36.1		38.7
Summary Report	emperature		с	38.8		39.2		38.5	38.9
Group 4, _ Female	Temperature		с	38.6		38.8	37.3		
Group 4, _ Female	Temperature		с	38.9		39.3		38.8	
🗌 Group 4, 💶 Female	Temperature		с	38.5		38	37.6		
🗌 Group 4, 💷 Female	Temperature		с	38.8	39		37.2		39.1
🗌 Group 4, 💷 Female	Temperature		с	38.5		37.4		38.2	38.4

To Match Report :

VISITDY in -12 in SEND has data from day -11 in report VISITDY -11 and -9 in SEND have data from day -9 in report VISITDY 25 and 26 in SEND have data from day 25 in report

Mismatched time point - label "24 hr" has elapsed time post dose P0D Ohr and 24hr data on Day 28 Cannot be Used for charting in Janus Nonclinical

VISITDY	РСТРТ	PCTPTNUM	PCELTM						Male
1	0.5h	0.5	P0DT30M	▼ Elapsed Time - Day	Day	Plann	Unit of		High
1	1h	1	P0DT1H			Time Point	the Stand		[Terminal
1	2h	2	P0DT2H			Name	Result		
1	4h	4	P0DT4H	🗏 🗌 0 Hrs - Day 1					
1	8h	8	P0DT8H		1	24h	ng/mL	Mean	0.0
1	12h	12	P0DT12H		1	24h	ng/mL	Std Dev	±0.0
1	24h	24	POD		1	24h		N	
28	0h0m	0	PODTOM	⊐ □ 0 Hrs - Day 20	3				
28	0.5h	0.5	P0DT30M		28	0h0m	ng/ml	Mean	1 779.6
28	1h	1	P0DT1H		29	AbAm	ng/ml	Std Dev	+1 499 6
28	2h	2	P0DT2H		20	oho-	ng/ iiic	Std Dev	1,400.0
28	4h	4	P0DT4H		28	ยกยุต		N	
28	8h	8	P0DT8H		28	24h	ng/mL	Mean	0.0
28	12h	12	P0DT12H		28	24h	ng/mL	Std Dev	±0.0
28	24h	24	POD		28	24h		Ν	
	1	1			_				
				Elapsed Time Post			Label f	or Elaps	ed Time
				Dose (PCELTM)		1	Post	Dose (P	CTPT)

ELTM used for Summary Table and Chart Organization for Times Post Dose In Janus Nonclinical

FDA

0.000

±0.000

1,779.600

±1,400.693

5

5

5

0.000

±0.000

Incorrect VISITDY for 24hr sample (Day 1 dose has VISTDY 2) Incorrect PCELTM for predose







Data Fitness Issues with Reporting of Categorical Test Results

- Improper reporting of categorical test results were found in nearly 60% of the studies reviewed
 - Most commonly seen in the Laboratory Test Results (LB) dataset for urinalysis and hematology tests with scored results on a discrete scale.
- Appropriate analyses cannot be automatically applied in Janus Nonclinical when these issues are present

Incorrect Standardization of Categorical Test Results



						Male						
▼ Day	Spec Mate Type	Category	▼ Test	Unit of the Stan Result		0 mg/kg/day [Terminal,	30 mg/kg/day - Terminal	100 mg/kg/day - Terminal	300 mg/kg/day [Terminal,	1000 mg/kg/day - Terminal		
⊇ 2 9												
	SERUM	CLINICAL CHEMISTRY	Hemolytic Ind…		Mean	0.000 (Text)	0.000 (Text)	0.000	0.000 (Text)	0.000 (Text)		
	SERUM	CLINICAL CHEMISTRY	Hemolytic Ind…		Std Dev	±0.000 (Text)	±0.000 (Text)	±0.000	±0.000 (Text)	±0.000 (Text)		
	SERUM	CLINICAL CHEMISTRY										
57			Animal ID				Day		Result	Re	sult in Character	
	SERUM	CLINICAL CHEMISTRY	4001				29		0 S	Slight Hemolysi	s	
			4002				29		0 N	No Hemolysis		
	SERUM	CLINICAL CHEMISTRY	4003				29		N	No Hemolysis		
	OFFILM		4004				29		0 N	No Hemolysis		
	SERUM	CLINICAL CHEMISTRY	4005				29		N	No Hemolysis		
			4006				29		N	No Hemolysis		
			4007				29		0 1	No Hemolysis		
			4008				29		0 N	No Hemolysis		
			4009				29		0 5	Slight Hemolysi	s	
			4010				29		0 5	Slight Hemolysi	s	
								CLOS	E DAILY BY A		NIMAL DETAILS 🛛	

Hemolytic Index results have LBSTRESN=0 for some results (not consistent with entry in LBSTRESC), no information provided in nSDRG. Janus Nonclinical automatically calculate summary means, standard deviations from values in LBSTRESN.

Incorrect Standardization of Categorical Lab Test Results



esult in Character

ANIMAL DETAILS 12

0 0

0.1-0.2

DAILY BY ANIMAL

CLOSE

0.3-0.7

					Male	Male					
Day	T Category T Test Unit of the Standa Result			Group 1,0mg/kg/d_ [Terminal,R_	Group 4,600- 400mg/kg/ [Terminal,R	Group 2,60mg/kg/_ - Terminal	Group 3,200mg/k - Terminal				
-8											
	URINALYSIS	Protein	g/L	Mean	0.000 (Text)	0.000 (Text)	0.000	0.000 (Text)			
	URINALYSIS	Protein	g/L	Std Dev	±0.000 (Text)	±0.000 (Text)	±0.000	±0.000 (Text)			
			Animal ID			Day		Result			
			1001			-8		0 0			
			1002			-8		0 0			

1003

1084

885

1006

Semi-quantitative urine protein test are in SEND with the result range in LBSTRESC. When LBSTRESC "looks like" a numeric result, it is reported in LBSTRESN. This causes Janus Nonclinical to do means and standard deviations on those numeric results automatically.

- 8

Incorrect Standardization of Scored Lab Test Results



						Male					
Specimer Material Type	n Categ	jory	T Test	Day	Unit of the Standardized Result		Group 1 -	Group 2 -	Group 3 -		
	URIN	ALYSIS	рН	-17			(Text)	(Text)	(Text)		
URINE	URIN	ALYSIS	рН	-11		i.					
URINE	URIN	ALYSIS	рН	33	Anima	al ID	Da	ay	Result		Result in Character
						1001		-17		7	
						1002		-17		7	
						1003		-17		7.5	
Parameter GROUP	r: Urine pH	I DAY	-17 D4	AY -11	DAY 33	1104		-17		7	
1	Mean	7	7.12	7.25	6.00						
-	SD N	C).25 4	0.87 4	0.71 4			CLO	SE DAILY BY	ANIMAL 🖸	ANIMAL DETAILS 🛛
2	Mean SD N	7 C	2.20 0.62 4	7.25 0.87 4	7.62 1.49 4						
3	Mean SD N	C	6.8 0.72 4	7.75 1.04 4	7.25 0.29 4						

pH group summary statistics included in study report; SEND includes pH as categorical, with no LBSTRESN values.

Data Fitness Issues with Character Replacement Values



- Sponsor omitted character replacement values for use in PC or LB summary calculations in nearly 60% of the studies reviewed
 - Most commonly missing for plasma concentration results reported in the PC dataset
- Janus Nonclinical cannot replicate and use group mean results supplied in study report when replacement values are not submitted.

Supplemental Qualifier –CALCN Not Supplied for results <LLOQ



						Male				Female				
Day	Specimen Material Type	Sub Category	T Test	Unit of the Stan Result		0 mg/kg	1 mg/kg	3 mg/kg	10 mg/kg	0 mg/kg	1 mg/kg	3 mg/kg	10 mg/kg	
∃] 29														×
	PLASMA	Clinical Chemistry	Sorbitol Dehy_	U/L	Mean	1.500 (Text)	-		-					~
	PLASMA	Clinical Chemistry	Sorbitol Dehy.	U/L	Std Dev	±0.577 (Text)	Anima	il ID		Day	R	esult Re	sult in Character	
	PLASMA	Clinical Chemistry	Sorbitol Dehy_		N	12 (Text)		10001		29		2 2	А	^
⊟ ∏ 58						(10.0)		10002		29		1 1	•	
	PLASMA	Clinical Chemistry	Sorbitol Dehy_	U/L	Mean	2.200	1	10004		29		<1.	9	
						(Text)		10005		29		1 1		
	PLASMA	Clinical Chemistry	Sorbitol Dehy.	. U/L	Std Dev	±0.837 (Text)		10006		29		<1.	0	
	PLASMA	Clinical Chemistry	Sorbitol Dehy.		N	6	i - 1	10007		29		<1.	0	
						(Text)		10008		29		2 2		
								10009		29		<1.	0	
		U/L				1		10010		29		<1.	0	
		2.0	1					10011		29		<1.	0	*
		1.0	1											
		0.5	}							CLOSE	DAILY BY AN	NIMAL 🖸	ANIMAL DETAIL	.s 🖻
		1.0	1											_
		0.5	}											
		2.0		C+			n diaat		+ half a	<u>filoc</u>		d far		
		0.5	}	31	uuy ke	eportin	nuicat	es tha	it nall C		lis use	ed for		
		0.5	}	re	sults <	<1.0. L	.BCAL	CN not	t suppli	ed so r	results	<1.0		
		0.5	<u>}</u>		olim	inated	from	mean	in lanı	is Non	clinica	I		
		Mean 0.83				mateu		mean						
	_	S.D. 0.58												
	}:	Below the LLOQ, rep.	resented as a h	half value	e of LLOQ									

Key SEND Submission Issues in Foundational Datasets



- Animal(s) removed from a study and eliminated from all reporting are in SEND files with data not excluded
 - Study Report and FDA Summaries do not match; data for removed animal(s) must be manually excluded in Janus Nonclinical
- Animals assigned to wrong sets
 - Janus Nonclinical automatically groups data by set; this cannot be done when animals are not in the correct sets. Reviewers do not reassign animals to sets
- Sponsor group ID and/or label incorrectly assigned to a set
 - Janus Nonclinical reports certain data by sponsor group; this cannot be done when group assignments are inconsistent with study design.
 Reviewers can report by set, with merged sets possible if needed



Background:

SE is critical for identifying the epoch that data is collected for

- SE not submitted
 - Epoch cannot be assigned
- Overlapping dates across elements
 - Incorrect epoch may be assigned
- Gap between end date and next element's start date
 - Epoch for data collected within the gap is not assigned
- Last element date before some collection dates
 - Epoch for data after end of last element is not assigned

Example Use of Epoch in Janus Clinical Observations



High Dose Affected

			Male		Female					
Category for Clinical Observations	n ▼ Sign	Study Phase	N = 15 Low - Terminal	N = 15 Mid - Terminal	N = 15 High - Terminal	N = 5 High - Recovery	N = 15 Low - Terminal	N = 15 Mid - Terminal	N = 15 High - Terminal	N = 5 High - Recovery
OPHTHALMOLOGY	Retinal atrophy	Screening								
OPHTHALMOLOGY	Retinal atrophy	Treatment			1/1				11/11	4/4
OPHTHALMOLOGY	Retinal atrophy	Recovery								4/4

Treatment Affect Not Seen During Recovery

			Male			Female				
		N = 15	N = 15	N = 15	N = 5	N = 15	N = 15	N = 15	N = 5	
Category for Clinical Observations	↑ ▼ Sign	Study Phase	Low - Terminal	Mid - Terminal	High - Terminal	High - Recovery	Low - Terminal	Mid - Terminal	High - Terminal	High - Recovery
CLINICAL SIGNS	Salivation	Screening								
CLINICAL SIGNS	Salivation	Treatment	1/1	23/10	51/9	18/4		17/6	48/9	12/3
CLINICAL SIGNS	Salivation	Recovery								



Nonclinical Data Reviewers Guide

- Key (but not the only) use of the nSDRG is helps reviewers navigate and use the SEND study data alongside the study report
- Significant differences in quality (complete, correct, clear, specific to the study) from company to company
- PhUSE nonclinical nSDRG working group provides templates, instructions and samples
- KickStart team includes suggestions for improvement in sponsor data fitness reports when information needed for interpretation of the data is not present or is inaccurate



Nonclinical Data Reviewers Guide Most Common Issues

- List of Differences between SEND and Study Report is ambiguous, incomplete, and/or cannot be confirmed
- Information included that is not relevant to the study
- True validator findings written off as "false positives"
- No mention of results or observations not reported in SEND

Example Mapping of Collected Data to SEND



INDxxxx Study xxxx Methods	Location in Janus			
6.5 Toxicokientics				
Bioanalysis	PC	Pharmacokinetic Concentrations		
Anti-Drug Antibodies – not submitted - out of scope				
Toxicokinetic Analysis	PP	Pharmacokinetic Parameters		
6.6 Inlife Procedures				
Clinical Observations (Health Monitoring, Cageside, Detailed and Post-dose)	CL	Clinical Observations		
Qualitative Food Consumption	CL	Clinical Observations		
Body Weights	BW	Body Weights		
Body Weight Gains	BG	Body Weight Gains		
Functional Observation Battery –not submitted - out of scope				
Vital Signs: Body temperature, heart rate, respiration rate, and pulse oximetry	VS	Vital Signs		
Ophthalmic Examinations	CL	Clinical Observations		
Blood Pressure Measurements	VS	Vital Signs		
6.7 Clinical Laboratory Procedures				
Hematology, Coagulation, Clinical Chemistry, Urinalysis	LB	Laboratory Findings		
C-Reactive Protein	LB	Laboratory Findings		
Peripheral Blood Immunophenotyping – Total Lymphocytes and Monocytes	LB	Laboratory Findings		
Cytokine Sample Analysis	LB	Laboratory Findings		

Define.xml StudyName



4.1.3.2 General Considerations

For nonclinical studies, the define.xml StudyName element value must contain the sponsor's study identifier, consistent with the study identifier used in the eCTD folder structure under Module 4; refer to Section 7.1 for additional information about the Module 4 folder structure.

StudyName Facts

- 40% of studies reviewed by Kickstart do not have the correct StudyName
- StudyName identifies the study within the application in Janus Nonclinical
- Correct use of StudyName aligns the application and study ID in Janus Nonclinical with the eCTD submission identifiers familiar to reviewers
- Incorrect StudyName can cause loading failures, for example when StudyName='UNKNOWN' for multiple studies in the application.
- StudyName may need to be updated by sponsor prior to submission

Quality Improvement in KickStart Studies



Trend Line Moving in the Right Direction!

Number of Issues in SEND Normalized to Number of Submitted Findings Datasets Kickstart Studies from Feb 2018 to May 2019



Key Points



- FDA saw significant increase in number of studies containing SEND datasets in the past year
- FDA Pharm/Tox Reviewers transitioning to utilize SEND datasets alongside the study report
- Complete and correct SEND datasets are critical for seamless, confident use of SEND datasets by FDA reviewers
- Some common issues in a SEND dataset can complicate or even prevent FDA reviewers use of those SEND datasets
- The FDA KickStart team identifies issues in SEND data to communicate with industry, identify trends, and help reviewers maximize use of their SEND datasets

FDA SEND Communications





How We Communicate

- 1. Responses to questions sent to <u>eData@fda.hhs.gov</u>
- 2. Technical Conformance Guide Updates
- 3. FDA Business Rule Updates
- 4. Sponsor-Specific Study Data Fitness Reports
- 5. PhUSE Presentations, Papers, and Posters
 - PhUSE US Connect
 - PhUSE Computational Science Symposium (CSS)
- 6. CDISC Collaborations
 - CDISC-SEND Face-to-Face (F2F) Public Forums
 - This webinar

